

APPROXIMATING CLASSES OF FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY A GENERALISED MODULUS OF SMOOTHNESS

FATON M. BERISHA AND NIMETE SH. BERISHA

ABSTRACT. In the present paper, we use a generalised shift operator in order to define a generalised modulus of smoothness. By its means, we define generalised Lipschitz classes of functions, and we give their constructive characteristics. Specifically, we prove certain direct and inverse types theorems in approximation theory for best approximation by algebraic polynomials.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [4], a generalised shift operator was introduced, by its means the generalised modulus of smoothness was defined, and Jackson's and its converse type theorems were proved for this modulus.

In the present paper, we make use of this modulus of smoothness to define generalised Lipschitz classes of functions. We prove the coincidence of such a generalised Lipschitz class with the class of functions having a given order of decrease of best approximation by algebraic polynomials.

2. DEFINITIONS

By $L_p[a, b]$ we denote the set of functions f such that for $1 \leq p < \infty$ f is a measurable function on the segment $[a, b]$ and

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_a^b |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty,$$

and for $p = \infty$ the function f is continuous on the segment $[a, b]$ and

$$\|f\|_\infty = \max_{a \leq x \leq b} |f(x)|.$$

In case that $[a, b] = [-1, 1]$ we simply write L_p instead of $L_p[-1, 1]$.

Denote by $L_{p,\alpha}$ the set of functions f such that $f(x)(1-x^2)^\alpha \in L_p$, and put

$$\|f\|_{p,\alpha} = \|f(x)(1-x^2)^\alpha\|_p.$$

Denote by $E_n(f)_{p,\alpha}$ the best approximation of a function $f \in L_{p,\alpha}$ by algebraic polynomials of degree not greater than $n-1$, in $L_{p,\alpha}$ metrics, i.e.,

$$E_n(f)_{p,\alpha} = \inf_{P_n} \|f - P_n\|_{p,\alpha},$$

where P_n is an algebraic polynomial of degree not greater than $n-1$.

By $E(p, \alpha, \lambda)$ we denote the class of functions $f \in L_{p,\alpha}$ satisfying the condition

$$E_n(f)_{p,\alpha} \leq Cn^{-\lambda},$$

where $\lambda > 0$ and C is a constant not depending on n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$).

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 41A35, Secondary 41A50, 42A16.

Key words and phrases. generalised Lipschitz classes, generalised modulus of smoothness, asymmetric generalised shift operator, theorem of coincidence of classes.

Define generalised shift operator $\hat{\tau}_t(f, x)$ by

$$\hat{\tau}_t(f, x) = \frac{1}{\pi(1-x^2)\cos^4 \frac{t}{2}} \int_0^\pi B_{\cos t}(x, \cos \varphi, R) f(R) d\varphi,$$

where

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} R &= x \cos t - \sqrt{1-x^2} \sin t \cos \varphi, \\ B_y(x, z, R) &= 2 \left(\sqrt{1-x^2} y + xz \sqrt{1-y^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sqrt{1-x^2} (1-y)(1-z^2) \right)^2 - (1-R^2). \end{aligned}$$

For a function $f \in L_{p,\alpha}$, define the generalised modulus of smoothness by

$$\hat{\omega}(f, \delta)_{p,\alpha} = \sup_{|t| \leq \delta} \|\hat{\tau}_t(f, x) - f(x)\|_{p,\alpha}.$$

Consider the class $H(p, \alpha, \lambda)$ of functions $f \in L_{p,\alpha}$ satisfying the condition

$$\hat{\omega}(f, \delta)_{p,\alpha} \leq C \delta^\lambda,$$

where $\lambda > 0$ and C is a constant not depending on δ .

Put $y = \cos t$, $z = \cos \varphi$ in the operator $\hat{\tau}_t(f, x)$, denote it by $\tau_y(f, x)$ and rewrite it in the form

$$\tau_y(f, x) = \frac{4}{\pi(1-x^2)(1+y)^2} \int_{-1}^1 B_y(x, z, R) f(R) \frac{dz}{\sqrt{1-z^2}},$$

where R and $B_y(x, z, R)$ are defined in (2.1).

By $P_\nu^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ ($\nu = 0, 1, \dots$) we denote the Jacobi polynomials, i.e., the algebraic polynomials of degree ν , orthogonal with the weight function $(1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta$ on the segment $[-1, 1]$, and normed by the condition

$$P_\nu^{(\alpha,\beta)}(1) = 1 \quad (\nu = 0, 1, \dots).$$

Denote by $a_n(f)$ the Fourier–Jacobi coefficients of a function f , integrable with the weight function $(1-x^2)^2$ on the segment $[-1, 1]$, with respect to the system of Jacobi polynomials $\left\{ P_n^{(2,2)}(x) \right\}_{n=0}^\infty$, i.e.,

$$a_n(f) = \int_{-1}^1 f(x) P_n^{(2,2)}(x) (1-x^2)^2 dx \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots).$$

3. AUXILIARY STATEMENTS

In order to prove our results we need the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let the numbers p and α be such that $1 \leq p \leq \infty$;*

$$\begin{aligned} 1/2 < \alpha \leq 1 & \quad \text{for } p = 1, \\ 1 - \frac{1}{2p} < \alpha < \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2p} & \quad \text{for } 1 < p < \infty, \\ 1 \leq \alpha < 3/2 & \quad \text{for } p = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

If $f \in L_{p,\alpha}$, then for every natural number n

$$C_1 E_n(f)_{p,\alpha} \leq \hat{\omega}(f, 1/n)_{p,\alpha},$$

where the positive constant C_1 does not depend on f and n .

Theorem 3.1 was proved in [4] and, in more general form, in [5]. It is known as a Jackson's type theorem.

We also need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *The operator $\tau_y(f, x)$ has the following properties:*

- 1) *it is linear,*
- 2) $\tau_1(f, x) = f(x),$
- 3) $\tau_y(P_\nu^{(2,2)}, x) = P_\nu^{(2,2)}(x)P_\nu^{(0,4)}(y) \quad (\nu = 0, 1, \dots),$
- 4) $\tau_y(1, x) = 1,$
- 5) $a_n(\tau_y(f, x)) = a_n(f)P_n^{(0,4)}(y) \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots).$

Lemma 3.1 was proved in [4]

Lemma 3.2. *Let the numbers p and α be such that $1 \leq p \leq \infty$;*

$$\begin{aligned} 1/2 < \alpha \leq 1 & \quad \text{for } p = 1, \\ 1 - \frac{1}{2p} < \alpha < \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2p} & \quad \text{for } 1 < p < \infty, \\ 1 \leq \alpha < 3/2 & \quad \text{for } p = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

If $f \in L_{p,\alpha}$, then

$$\|\hat{\tau}_t(f, x)\|_{p,\alpha} \leq \frac{C}{\cos^4 \frac{t}{2}} \|f\|_{p,\alpha},$$

where constant C does not depend on f and t .

Lemma 3.2 was proved in [4].

4. STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Theorem 4.1. *Let p, α and λ be given numbers such that $1 \leq p \leq \infty$;*

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{1}{2p} < \alpha < \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2p} & \quad \text{for } 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ 1 \leq \alpha < \frac{3}{2} & \quad \text{for } p = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

and $0 < \lambda < 2$. Let $f \in L_{p,\alpha}$. If

$$E_n(f)_{p,\alpha} \leq Mn^{-\lambda},$$

then

$$\hat{\omega}(f, \delta)_{p,\alpha} \leq CM\delta^\lambda,$$

where constant C does not depend on f, M and δ .

Proof. Let $P_n(x)$ be an algebraical polynomial of degree not greater than $n-1$ such that

$$\|f - P_n\|_{p,\alpha} = E_n(f)_{p,\alpha} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

We define algebraical polynomials $Q_k(x)$ by

$$Q_k(x) = P_{2^k}(x) - P_{2^{k-1}}(x) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and $Q_0(x) = P_1(x)$. Since for $k \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q_k\|_{p,\alpha} &= \|P_{2^k} - P_{2^{k-1}}\|_{p,\alpha} \leq \|P_{2^k} - f\|_{p,\alpha} + \|f - P_{2^{k-1}}\|_{p,\alpha} \\ &= E_{2^k}(f)_{p,\alpha} + E_{2^{k-1}}(f)_{p,\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

then by the conditions of the theorem we have

$$(4.1) \quad \|Q_k\|_{p,\alpha} \leq C_1 M 2^{-k\lambda}.$$

Taking into consideration property 4) in Lemma 3.1 of the operator τ_y , without loss of generality we may suppose that $t \neq 0$. For $0 < |t| \leq \delta$ we estimate

$$I = \|\hat{\tau}_t(f, x) - f(x)\|_{p,\alpha}.$$

For every positive integer N , taking into account property 1) in Lemma 3.1 and the linearity of the operator $\tau_t(f, x)$, we get

$$I \leq \|\hat{\tau}_t(f - P_{2^N}, x) - (f(x) - P_{2^N}(x))\|_{p,\alpha} + \|\hat{\tau}_t(P_{2^N}, x) - P_{2^N}(x)\|_{p,\alpha}.$$

Since

$$P_{2^N}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^N Q_k(x),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq \|\hat{\tau}_t(f - P_{2^N}, x) - (f(x) - P_{2^N}(x))\|_{p,\alpha} + \sum_{k=0}^N \|\hat{\tau}_t(Q_k, x) - Q_k(x)\|_{p,\alpha} \\ &= J + \sum_{k=1}^N I_k. \end{aligned}$$

Let N be chosen in such a way that

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{\pi}{2^N} < \delta \leq \frac{\pi}{2^{N-1}}.$$

We prove the following inequalities

$$(4.3) \quad J \leq C_2 M \delta^\lambda$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad I_k \leq C_3 M 2^{-k\lambda},$$

where constants C_2 and C_3 do not depend on f , M , δ and k .

First we consider J . By Lemma 3.2, taking into account that $|t| \leq \delta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{\tau}_t(f - P_{2^N}, x) - (f(x) - P_{2^N}(x))\|_{p,\alpha} &\leq \frac{C_4}{(\cos \frac{t}{2})^4} \|f - P_{2^N}\|_{p,\alpha} \\ &= C_5 E_{2^N}(f)_{p,\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the condition of the theorem and inequality (4.2) yield

$$\|\hat{\tau}_t(f - P_{2^N}, x) - (f(x) - P_{2^N}(x))\|_{p,\alpha} \leq C_6 M 2^{-N\lambda} \leq C_7 M \delta^\lambda,$$

which proves inequality (4.3).

Now we prove inequality (4.4). Note that, taking into consideration Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\|\hat{\tau}_t(Q_k)\|_{p,\alpha} \leq \frac{C_8}{(\cos \frac{t}{2})^4} \|Q_k\|_{p,\alpha}.$$

Hence,

$$I_k \leq \frac{C_9}{(\cos \frac{t}{2})^4} M 2^{-k\lambda},$$

which proves inequality (4.4).

Inequalities (4.3), (4.4) and (4.2) yield

$$I \leq C_{10} M \left(\delta^\lambda + \sum_{k=1}^N 2^{-k\lambda} \right) \leq C_{11} M (\delta^\lambda + 2^{-N\lambda}) \leq C_{12} M \delta^\lambda.$$

Theorem 4.1 is proved. \square

Theorem 4.2. Let p , α and λ be given numbers such that $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $\lambda > 0$;

$$1 - \frac{1}{2p} < \alpha < \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2p} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

$$1 \leq \alpha < \frac{3}{2} \quad \text{for } p = \infty.$$

Let $f \in L_{p,\alpha}$. If

$$\hat{\omega}(f, \delta)_{p,\alpha} \leq M\delta^\lambda,$$

then

$$E_n(f)_{p,\alpha} \leq CMn^{-\lambda},$$

where constant C does not depend on f , M and n .

Proof. Let $\delta = \frac{1}{n}$. Then, taking into account Theorem 3.1, we obtain

$$E_n(f)_{p,\alpha} \leq \frac{1}{C_1} \hat{\omega}\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{p,\alpha} \leq CMn^{-\lambda}.$$

Theorem 4.2 is proved. \square

Theorem 4.3. Let p , α and λ be given numbers such that $1 \leq p \leq \infty$;

$$1 - \frac{1}{2p} < \alpha < \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2p} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

$$1 \leq \alpha < \frac{3}{2} \quad \text{for } p = \infty.$$

Then for $0 < \lambda < 2$ the classes of functions $H(p, \alpha, \lambda)$ coincide with the class $E(p, \alpha, \lambda)$.

Proof. Note that, under the condition of the theorem, Theorem 4.2 implies the inclusion

$$H(p, \alpha, \lambda) \subseteq E(p, \alpha, \lambda),$$

while Theorem 4.1 implies the converse inclusion

$$E(p, \alpha, \lambda) \subseteq H(p, \alpha, \lambda).$$

Hence we conclude that the assertion of Theorem 4.3 is implied by Theorems 4.2 and 4.1. \square

Note that analogues of Theorems 4.2, 4.1 and 4.3 for another generalised shift operator were proved in [1] and, in more general forms, in [3, 2].

REFERENCES

1. F. M. Berisha, *On coincidence of classes of functions defined by a generalized modulus of smoothness and the appropriate inverse theorem*, Math. Montisnigri **9** (1998), 15–36. MR 1657672 (99i:41021)
2. N. Sh. Berisha and F. M. Berisha, *Approximating classes of functions defined by operators of differentiation or operators of generalised translation by means of algebraic polynomials*, Int. J. Math. Anal. (Ruse) **6** (2012), no. 55, 2709–2729.
3. M. K. Potapov and F. M. Berisha, *Approximation of classes of functions defined by a generalized k -th modulus of smoothness*, East J. Approx. **4** (1998), no. 2, 217–241. MR 1638345 (99g:41007)
4. ———, *Direct and inverse theorems of approximation theory for a generalized modulus of smoothness*, Anal. Math. **25** (1999), no. 3, 187–203. MR 1717821 (2000h:41009)
5. ———, *O svyazi mezhdu r -im obobshchennym medulem gladkosti i nailuchshimi priblizheniyami algebraicheskimi mnogochlenami*, Fundam. Prikl. Mat. **5** (1999), no. 2, 563–587. MR 1803600 (2001j:41004)

F. M. BERISHA, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF PRISHTINA, NËNA
TEREZË 5, 10000 PRISHTINË, KOSOVO

E-mail address: `fatton.berisha@uni-pr.edu`

N. SH. BERISHA, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF PRISHTINA, NËNA
TEREZË 5, 10000 PRISHTINË, KOSOVO

E-mail address: `nimete.berisha@gmail.com`